

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/925,766	08/09/2001	Leland A. Hardcastle	068267.000089	4846
7590 10/09/2003			EXAMINER	
Charles D. Gunter, Jr.			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
Bracewell & Patterson, LLP 201 Main Street, Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Worth, TX 76102-3105			1732	THI DAY TOWN DOK
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/925,766

HARD CASTLE ET AL.

Examiner

CUHNS

Group Art Unit

1732

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— **Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\frac{\int HR \, \mathcal{E} \, \mathcal{E} \, (3)}{\int HR \, \mathcal{E} \, \mathcal{E} \, (3)}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** \boxtimes Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{5EPT}{17}$, 2003 ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) 23 - 25is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s)_ _____ is/are allowed. ☆ Claim(s) / - 2 2. is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s)___ is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) ___ are subject to restriction or election **Application Papers** requirement ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ____ _____ is □ approved □ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ___ ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: __ Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Other ___ Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Art Unit: 1732

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-22 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

- 2. Claims 23-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/925,766 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,576,168. Although the

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is

well known to place a mold in a reaction vessel or autoclave and such would have been obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to impart a desired pressure and temperature to the

pitch.. In addition, appropriate temperature ranges for carbonization and graphitization would

have been readily determined through routine experimentation by one of ordinary skill in the art

based on known physical properties of pitch.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (703) 308-3462. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

í

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (703) 305-5493. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

allen R. Kuls

9-30-03